

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,578	03/17/2004	Michel Falcati	L7307.04111	2748
24257 7:	590 12/13/2005		EXAMINER	
STEVENS DAVIS MILLER & MOSHER, LLP			BARKER, MATTHEW M	
1615 L STREE	T, NW			
SUITE 850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		3662	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/801,578	FALCATI, MICHEL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Matthew M. Barker	3662			
	MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Rep	•					
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If NO period for Failure to reply Any reply received.	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY RIS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAILING DAILING THE MAILING DAILING THE MAILING DAILING THE MAILING THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Respo	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 No</u>	ovember 2005.				
2a)∏ This a	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed	d in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of	Claims					
4)⊠ Claim	(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim	(s) <u>1,12 and 13</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-8</u> is/are rejected.					
•	(s) 3 is/are objected to.					
8)∐ Claim	(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Pa	pers					
9)∏ The sp	pecification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) 🖾 The dr	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applic	ant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	cement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) <u></u> The oa	ath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)⊠ All	wledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).			
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the	e attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)		<u></u> 0				
	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/801,578 Page 2

Art Unit: 3662

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of embodiment I in the reply filed on 11/29/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no burdensome search would be required to examine all pending claims. This is not found persuasive because no requirement to show burden exists; burden is inherent to a species election requirement.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 3 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 3 states that on initialization, switchover occurs to one of inputs (5, 6) **only** if the level of the corresponding signal is sufficient to determine the azimuth of the aircraft. Claim 3 depends on claim 1, which requires that on initialization, switchover occurs to the input (5, 6) whose signal exhibits the highest level, regardless of any other factor (lines 17-18). Claim 3 creates a scenario where at the same time switchover is both required and prohibited.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,578 Page 3

Art Unit: 3662

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Regarding claim 2, the specification does not disclose how a second value of azimuth in relation to the runway is determined. Furthermore, the specification does not disclose computing the difference between the azimuth in relation to the aircraft and the azimuth in relation to the runway.

Claims 3-8 depend on claim 2 and are therefore also rejected as failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1, 12, and 13 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 2-8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome all objections and/or rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3662

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bliss relates to an aircraft landing system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew M. Barker whose telephone number is (571)272-3103. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on (571)272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MMB

THOMAS H. TARCZA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600